6.4 Application to discrete logarithms in generic groups

Collisions can be used to computed discrete logarithms in arbitrary groups, using the baby-step, giant-step method. Before presenting this method, it is useful to first show that computing discrete logarithms in a group whose cardinality is known is, assuming that the factorization of the cardinality is given, no harder than computing discrete logarithms in all subgroups of prime order. The Pohlig-Hellman algorithm is a constructive method to compute discrete logarithm in the whole group from a small number of calls to discrete logarithms computations in the subgroups of prime order.

6.4.1 Pohlig-Hellman algorithm

First, let us recall the definition of discrete logarithm. Given a group \mathbb{G} , denoted multiplicatively and two elements of \mathbb{G} , say g and h, computing the discrete logarithm of h in basis g amounts to finding, if it exists, an integer a, such that $h=g^a$ in \mathbb{G} . For discrete logarithms computations are not necessarily possible for all elements h of \mathbb{G} . However, if \mathbb{G} is a cyclic group generated by g, then the discrete logarithm is defined for all elements of \mathbb{G} . In the sequel, we make this assumption.

Note that, if N denotes the cardinality of \mathbb{G} , the discrete logarithm of h in basis g is only determined modulo N, since $g^N = 1$ the identity element in G. An interesting consequence is that any algorithm able to compute discrete logarithm can be used to obtain N. For simplicity, assume that we know the order of magnitude of N and, more precisely, assume that N lies in the range $[N_0+1,2N_0]$. If the discrete logarithm algorithm outputs a normalized value between 0 and N-1, it suffices to ask for the discrete logarithm of g^{2N_0} , say a. Then we know that N divides $2N_0 - a$ and even that $N = 2N_0 - a$. If the discrete logarithm is allowed to output any of the multiple possible values for the discrete logarithm, choose a random integer b between 0 and some multiple of N_0 , say $10N_0$. Then ask for a discrete logarithm of q^b and let a denote the resulting value. Since $g^a = g^b$, |b-a| is a small multiple of N, possibly 0. If $a \neq b$, it is a non-zero multiple. Since there are not many divisors of this multiple in the range $[N_0 + 1, 2N_0]$, we can easily recover N. However, we need to make sure that the discrete logarithm algorithm does not systematically output a = b. This comes from the fact that we are choosing b at random in a large range. With this strategy, even an adversarial discrete logarithm algorithm cannot systematically determine b and, at some point, it outputs some other value for the discrete logarithm. Finally, even if we do not know a precise range, it is usually possible to find N by computing the GCD of a few multiples obtained as above.

As a consequence, in the context of discrete logarithm computations, it is reasonable to ask for the group cardinality N in advance. We also assume that